



Pension Plan Risks in Mergers, Acquisitions and Spin-offs

2/1/2017

Talking Points

Certain corporate transactions – particularly the spin-off of under-performing subsidiaries – greatly increase the risk of a distress termination and benefit losses for retirees.

Congress needs to update a number of ERISA provisions to ensure that both pension spin-offs and the merger of plans following M&A activity do not unnecessarily increase the risk of a distress termination and permanent pension losses for plan participants.

The stakes are high for workers and retirees when an under-funded pension plan is terminated or abandoned. Although most retirees continue to receive their monthly benefit, when an under-funded pension plan terminates it imposes an **immediate and permanent loss of income** on beneficiaries.

As globalization and the acquisition of American companies by foreign firms and investors becomes increasingly common, there is a particular concern about the PBGC's ability to deter plan terminations by, or recover assets from, foreign-owned or foreign-based plan sponsors and named fiduciaries.

Unfortunately, the PBGC and other federal regulators lack the tools to protect retirees from unnecessary and severe terminations. ERISA's outdated and narrow protections create a number of gaps that harm retirees and worsen the PBGC's reported deficits.

These tools are neither broad enough in scope nor flexible enough to deal with an under-funded plan. There are major gaps in the law that undermine efforts to prevent a pension plan from default.

The NRLN recommends six changes for legislation, regulatory reform and stepped-up enforcement:

1. **Congress should give regulators broader and more flexible authority under Section 4042(a) to negotiate or seek court approval for a more tailored remedy, short of plan termination,** to address spin-offs or other transactions that greatly increase the risk of future loss to the PBGC and participants.
2. **Congress should further amend Section 4042(a) to authorize the PBGC to initiate proceedings to terminate a plan, or seek an alternative remedy short of plan termination, if a spin-off, controlled group break-up, takeover by a foreign entity or other corporate transaction transfers 20% or more of the plan's benefit liabilities without a commensurate and sufficient transfer of assets.**
3. **Congress needs to clarify that the PBGC has the authority to enforce a lien against all U.S.-based assets of the parent company of a foreign-owned plan sponsor** even if those other assets or subsidiaries are not considered part of the controlled group sponsoring the plan.
4. The Department of Labor should revise its regulations **clarify that fiduciaries under ERISA – especially contributing sponsors and “named fiduciaries” – must be subject to the jurisdiction of federal district courts for the enforcement of judgments for potential breaches of fiduciary duty.**
5. Congress should **add the proposed transfer or spin-off of pension assets or liabilities to a foreign controlled group or entity to the list of transactions requiring an Advance Notice of Reportable Events,** triggering special scrutiny under the PBGC's Early Warning Program.
6. **Congress should require that intra-firm plan mergers are reportable events, as ERISA originally required, that require review and pre-approval of PBGC,** particularly if any of the plans are in at-risk status, as NRLN proposes in a separate white paper on *Defined Benefit Pension Plan Mergers*.

For a whitepaper on this subject, contact Alyson Parker at 813-545-6792 or executivedirector@nrln.org